Overview of SLS 2.0 Beam Based Feedbacks and BPM System

Boris Keil, Pedro Baeta, Robin Ditter, Fabio Marcellini, Goran Marinkovic, Markus Roggli, Jonas Purtschert (PSI, Villigen, Switzerland)

Abstract

For the ongoing upgrade of the Swiss Light Source (SLS) storage ring, the previous ageing beam-based feedbacks and beam position monitor (BPM) systems are replaced by newly developed versions, where beam commissioning is planned to start in January 2025. Feedbacks include the fast orbit feedback (FOFB), transverse and longitudinal multi-bunch feedback (MBFB), and filling pattern feedback (FPFB). In this contribution, we give an overview of the architectures and development/production status of these feedbacks and of the **BPM** system, including latest pre-beam test results.

<u>SL</u>	<u>S 2.0</u>
	Parameter
	Circumference
	Beam Current
	Injection Charge

SLS 1.0:

- 3rd generation synchrotron light source
- User operation since 2001

SLS 2.0:

- 1st beam 1/2025
- <u>New storage ring</u>: >40x higher hard X-ray brilliance

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>SLS 1.0</u>	<u>SLS 2.0</u>
Circumference	m	288	
Beam Current	mA	400	
Injection Charge	nC	~0.15 (1 Bunch, Top-Up @ 3 I	
Beam Energy	GeV	2.4	2.7
Main RF	MHz	499.637	499.654
Harmonic No.	#	480	
Hor. Emittance	pm	5030	131-158
Vert. Emittance	pm	5-10	10
Ring BPMs (FOFB/other)	#	75/0	115/21
Ring Beam Pipe		Stainless Steel	Copper (NEG)
Hor./Vert. Betatr. Tune		20.43 / 8.74	39.27 / 15.22
BPM-to-BPM Betatr. Tune		102° / 44°	123° / 48°

Multi-bunch & Filling Pattern Feedback

- MBFB & FPFB both based on RF System-on-Chip (RFSoC) = Zynq UltraScale+ (used by BPMs/FOFB) + <u>8x4GSPS ADCs</u> & <u>8x6GSPS DACs</u>
- Replacing analog down/upconverters with **direct ADC sampling** & **direct DAC drive** solution (see poster WEP42)





- Replace ageing SLS 1.0 hardware
- Keep building & linac & booster & storage ring tunnel

Phase Δ (ideal < 90°)			
FOFB Dipole Corr. Kick	µrad	± 750	$\pm 400 (600*$
Miin. beam size @ BPM	μm	5	5-10

* Temporarily for SLS 2.0 1st beam with optional extra coil windings(disconnected later).

BPM Requirements and Pickups

<u>Parameter</u>		Goal	% of $\sigma_{\rm Y}$	
Position Noise (0.1 Hz - 1 k	Hz BW), 400 mA	<50 nm RMS	1%	
Position Noise (0.1 Hz - 0.5	MHz BW), 400 mA	<1 µm RMS	20%	
Position Noise (0.5 MHz BV	W), 0.15nC, 1 Bunch	<50 µm RMS	-	
		<100 nm / hour	2%	
Electronics Drift (400mA beam, constant)		<400 nm / week	8%	
		<1 µm / year	20 %	
		<250 nm / hour	5%	
<u>Overall Drift (Electronics + Cables + Mechanics)</u>		<1 µm / week	20%	
		<2.5 µm / year	50%	
Beam Current Dependency (Const. Fill. Patt.)		<100 nm / 4 mA	2%	
Location	<u>BPM Type</u>	geometry factors k _x /k _y [mm		
Linac & Transfer Lines	Resonant Stripline	various		
Booster	Button	8.3/7.7		
SLS 1.0 Ring	Button	16.7/14.3		
SLS 2.0 Ring	Button	7.1/7.	7.1/7.2	







Fast Orbit Feedback (FOFB)

Corrector Magnets

- 0.35 mm lamination thickness
- 0.5 mm stainless steel beam pipe



Tree Topology

• Fiber optic tree network, central FOFB engine PSI-specific real-time data transfer protocol



Low-Latency FOFB Algorithm Implementation in FPGA

- Algorithm first in C++ on Zynq UltraScale+ CPU, beam test @ SLS 1.0 (~4k corrections/s)
- Final version now implemented in programmable logic (PL) of Zynq U+ (~100k corrections/s)





Long-Term Stability Lab Test (3 BPM Units)



With Pilot-Tone Drift Compensation 15 days **Beam signal** simulated with external RF 13-BPM2:BPM1-POS-STG2-DIFF0-X : Time (Ms) generator. Pilot signal (SMA output of **RFFE** combined externally with MRIDI-DBPM3-BPM2:BPM1-POS-STG2-DIFF0-Y : Time (Ms beam signal, ion -0.64 then sum of -0.66 both split 4x to **RFFE** inputs to simulate centered beam. 40n -0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2 MRIDI-DBPM3-BPM2:BPM2-POS-STG2-DIFF0-Y : Time (Ms Plots show beam **NuO** minus pilot signal -> drift compensation **RFFE** has active temperature regulation using multiple sensors & heating zones per RFFE. Stability <0.1°C.

power supply

Feedback Loop Latency & Correction Bandwidth

	<u>SLS 1.0</u>	<u>SLS 2.0</u> (1 st Beam)	<u>SLS 2.0</u> (Final)
<u>Component</u>	Latency [µs]		
BPM RF Cable	<0.2		
BPM Electronics (ADC,)	<1	<1	
BPM DDC Filter	<600	~190	~25
(Programmable)		(2.7 kHz)	(20 kHz)
$BPM \to FOFB Transfer$	<250	<4	
FOFB Algorithm	<250	<8	
$FOFB \rightarrow Magnet PS$	<100	<4	
Transfer			
Magnet PS Internal	<50	<10	
Overall excl. DDC	<650 <28		3
Overall incl. DDC	<u><1250</u>	<u><218</u>	<53

<u>Component</u>	Bandwidth [Hz]		
	SLS 1.0	SLS 2.0 (1 st Beam)	SLS 2.0 (Final)
BPM DDC Filter(-3 dB) (Programmable)	~700	2700	20'000
Corrector Power Supply + Magnet + Beam Pipe	>1000	>1200	>3000
FOFB Closed Loop (0 dB Point)	<u>~100</u>	<u>~350</u>	<u>~1200</u>

SLS 1.0:

- 2 mm stainless steel beam pipe @ correctors (steel elsewhere)
- 4 k corrections/s
- FOFB algorithm distributed on 12 DSP boards

SLS 2.0:

- 0.5mm stainless steel pipe @ correctors (copper elsewhere)
- Up to 100 k corrections/s
- FOFB algorithm on single central Zynq UltraScale+ MPSoC

SLS 1.0 & 2.0

Only one type of orbit corrector magnet, used for static correction and $FOFB \rightarrow compromise between$ strength, bandwidth, and noise

Dependencies

MBFB ↔ FOFB/BPMs

- Longitudinal ~kHz beam oscillations: FOFB sees dispersion orbit & removes it from BPM readings before correction.
- FOFB corrects RF frequency periodically \rightarrow longitudinal phase changes
- FOFB stabilizes orbit at MBFB BPM, reducing common mode ADC offset.

FPFB ↔ **FOFB/BPMs**

• Stable filling pattern reduces systematic dependency of BPM electronics on filling pattern & beam current

FPFB ↔ **MBFB**

- Maximum charge in "camshaft bunch" limits MBFB resolution
- Beam stability depends on filling pattern (HOMs, ions, ...)



Summary & Outlook

- The installation of the SLS 2.0 storage ring is in progress, most part of beam pipe & magnets are already installed, with external pre-assembly of most parts. • BPM electronics series production is in progress, expecting the last production batch 1 October 2024. The pilot tone effectively suppresses beam position drift that occurs upstream of the 2x2 crossbar switch in the RFFE, including external 4x splitter for lab tests.
- After pre-beam installation and test of BPM, FOFB, MBFB and FPFB, beam commissioning is expected to start January 2025.
- The electronics of BPMs (designed by PSI), FOFB, MBFB and FPFB is all based on Xilinx/AMD Zynq UltraScale+ MPSoCs, where MBFB and FPFB have additional Multi-GSample/s ADCs and DACs on the chip ("RFSoC"). This maximizes synergies between the systems and simplifies their interfacing.